Ref: Ro J Rheumatol. 2023;32(4) DOI: 10.37897/RJR.2023.4.2

# Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio: a superior prognostic marker for systemic lupus erythematosus compared to neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and systemic immune-inflammation index

# Anahita Amirpour, Elham Ghasemi Nejad, Toktam Alirezaei

Men's Health and Reproductive Health Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
Toktam Alirezaei **ORCID ID:** 0000-0002-4473-7093

# **ABSTRACT**

**Objective.** Novel inflammatory indices are a potential substitute for traditional markers which their correlation and clinical application in different autoimmune diseases are still in question. In this study, we evaluated the association of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) activity.

**Methods.** We included 103 SLE patients in our study, compared to a matched pair of 103 healthy individuals. A blood sample was drawn to measure SII, PLR, NLR, C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, autoantibodies, and complement levels. Independent sample t-test, chi-square, and Mann-Whitney U test were implemented for variables as appropriate. Linear regression was used to evaluate the factors that predict SLE activity.

**Results**. Our study revealed that NLR, PLR, and SII between SLE patients and the control group were significantly different. SII and NLR were correlated with renal manifestations of SLE. PLR was correlated with SLEDAI, and an independent factor of SLE activity after adjusting for multiple factors, but not NLR and SII.

**Conclusion.** We demonstrated that only PLR was an independent predictor of SLE activity. This may suggest that NLR and SII are more nonspecific inflammatory markers, compared to PLR being prognostic of SLE activity.

**Keywords:** systemic lupus erythematosus, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, SLEDAI, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, disease activity, systemic immune-inflammation index

# List of abbreviations (in alphabetical order):

ANA	<ul> <li>antinuclear antibodies</li> </ul>	DVT	<ul> <li>deep venous thrombosis</li> </ul>
ALKP	– alkaline phosphatase	ESR	<ul> <li>erythrocyte sedimentation rate</li> </ul>
ALT	<ul> <li>alanine transferase</li> </ul>	NLR	<ul> <li>neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio</li> </ul>
APS	<ul> <li>anti-phospholipid syndrome</li> </ul>	PLR	<ul><li>platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio</li></ul>
AST	<ul> <li>aspartate transferase</li> </ul>	SII	<ul><li>systemic immune inflammation</li></ul>
BMI	<ul> <li>body mass index</li> </ul>	311	·
BUN	<ul> <li>blood urea nitrogen</li> </ul>		index
CBC	<ul> <li>complete blood cell count</li> </ul>	SLE	<ul> <li>systemic lupus erythematosus;</li> </ul>
Cr	- creatinine	SLEDAI	<ul> <li>systemic lupus erythematosus</li> </ul>
CRP	<ul> <li>C reactive protein</li> </ul>		disease activity index;
DMARDs	<ul> <li>disease-modifying anti-rheumatic</li> </ul>	WBC	<ul> <li>white blood cells</li> </ul>
	drugs		

Corresponding author:

Toktam Alirezaei

E-mail: Alirezaei.Toktam@sbmu.ac.ir

Article History:

Received: 19 December 2023 Accepted: 26 December 2023

### INTRODUCTION

Lupus that has various clinical presentations and autoimmune nature, is a chronic with an unknown etiology. Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a type of lupus disease that presents with different levels of damage to joints, skin, kidneys, and other body organs [1].

Disease course has a remitting and relapsing nature which emphasizes the need for the evaluation of disease activity in clinical practice. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI) is a widely-used index for the evaluation of active SLE; however, the simplicity of its clinical use is not favorable [2]. SLEDAI is a disease activity score within the last 10 days and is calculated by the sum of the weighted score of the 24 SLE clinical and laboratory variables.

Autoimmunity in SLE results in different autoantibodies such as anti-proliferating cell nuclear antigen, anti-nuclear antibody (ANA), anti-dsDNA, and anti-phospholipid antibodies, positive Coombs test in case of hemolysis, and decreased complement levels [3,4]. Due to various pathways of organ involvement, using one hematological test for measuring SLE activity seems to be unattainable. Still, a simple, affordable, easily-available test to measure disease activity is in need.

Complete blood cell count (CBC) with differential is a routine blood test and its use in different inflammatory states is well-established. However, there are still ongoing studies on different CBC-derived markers in autoimmune diseases [5]. Blood cell lineages play different roles in inflammatory states but establishing the exact role of each cell type is challenging; therefore, most of the blood-derived inflammatory markers are nonspecific [6,7].

Thrombocytopenia is a prevalent abnormal CBC result in SLE patients which is suggested to be due to antibodies against platelets and increased destruction in the spleen. Low platelet count is related to disease activity, neurological manifestations, nephritis, and arthritis [6]. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) has been evaluated in SLE patients, among other autoimmune and chronic diseases [8]. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is another novel inflammatory marker that has been explored in infections, cancers, and chronic diseases. Also, NLR was found to be higher in SLE, RA, Behcet's disease, and systemic sclerosis patients than in healthy individuals in some studies [2,8,9]. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) is another blood-derived marker that is calculated by neutrophil count × platelets/lymphocyte count. SII, as an inflammatory marker has been assessed in sepsis, chronic diseases, and inflammatory diseases [10-14]. However, to the best of our knowledge, SII has been evaluated in only two studies in SLE patients and only one of them showed considerable results regarding the association between SLE and SII [15,16]. In this paper, we aimed to look over PLR, NLR, and SII in SLE patients compared to the healthy individuals.

### METHODS

# Study design

This is a case-control study that was approved by our institution's ethics review board. Written informed consent of all participants was obtained. The sample size was calculated as 206 patients which were included in the study and grouped into two, 103 patients with SLE and 103 healthy individuals. All the participants were White with Iranian ethnicity. The study was conducted on 20- to 65-year-old patients who visited the rheumatology clinic of our hospital from March 2020 to 2021. Exclusion criteria were history of other rheumatological diseases, coagulopathy and lymphoproliferative diseases, diseases and consumption of drugs that have established effect on blood cell counts, heart failure, liver diseases, renal diseases, recent infection, and malignancy, SLE was diagnosed based on the American College of Rheumatology criteria.

# Data collection and study outcome

Demographic characteristics and medical and drug histories were obtained from patients through personal interviews, questionnaires, and medical records. A blood sample was drawn from patients to examine laboratory variables and all laboratory analyses were performed within 2 hours of sample collection. The variables included complete blood cell count (CBC) and differential of white blood cells (WBC), quantitative erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), qualitative C-reactive protein (CRP), AST, ALT, ALKP, ANA, anti-ds-DNA, urea, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), 24-hour urinary protein, C3, C4, and CH50 using standard methods.

NLR, PLR, and SII were calculated for all the participants as follows:

SII = platelets × neutrophil / lymphocyte count

PLR = platelets / lymphocyte count

NLR = neutrophil / lymphocyte count

SLEDAI was calculated by the sum of the score of the presented variables which are presented in parentheses: Psychosis (8), Recent onset seizure (8), Organic brain syndrome (8), New onset sensory or motor neuropathy (8), Visual disturbance (8), New onset stroke (8), Lupus headache (8), Myositis (4), Vasculitis (4), Arthritis (4), Heme-granular or RBC urinary casts (4), Proteinuria (4), Hematuria (4), Pyuria (4), Inflammatory-type rash (2), Alopecia (2), Oral or nasal mucosal ulcers (2), Pericarditis (2), Pleurisy (2), Low complement (2), High DNA binding (2), Temperature

more than 100.4 °F (38°C) (1), Platelets less than 100 x 109 per Liter (1), and WBC less than  $3 \times 109$  per liter (1).

Inactive SLE was considered in patients with a score of less than 4, while active SLE was considered in patients with a score of 4 and more [17,18].

# Statistical analyses

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 22 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Quantitative and qualitative variables were expressed as means ± SD and frequency with percentages, respectively. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for assessing normal distribution of variables. T-test, chi-square, and Pearson correlation tests were used for the analysis of data. There was no missing data in this study. Linear Regression Model with multiple variables was performed for predicting SLEDAI with collinearity statistics. P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant in all of the tests.

### **RESULTS**

The mean of SLEDAI in our SLE patients was 8.80  $\pm$  6.89. Most of our participants in both groups were male. The demographic, laboratory, and clinical descriptive of both SLE patients and healthy individuals are presented in Table 1. NLR, PLR, SII, lymphocyte count, and Alkp were significantly different between the two groups (Table 1); however, when SLE patients were divided into two groups of active (SLEDAI  $\geq$  4) and inactive disease (SLEDAI  $\leq$  4), the means of only SII, C3, and C4 were statistically different and the means of NLR and PLR were not significantly different between the two groups (Table 2).

Further, we divided different clinical manifestations of SLE into five groups of renal, musculoskeletal, mucocutaneous, neuropsychiatric, and serositis. We evaluated the correlation between each group with SII, NLR, and PLR. Our results revealed that SII and NLR are correlated with renal manifestations of SLE (r = 0.196, p = 0.047 and r = 0.217, p = 0.028, respectively) (Table 3).

In SLE patients, NLR was correlated with ESR (r=0.388, p<0.001). PLR was correlated with SLEDAI (r=0.233, p=0.018) and CRP (r=0.222, p=0.024). Moreover, SII was correlated with CRP and ESR (r=0.212, p=0.031, r=0.328, p=0.001, respectively). SLEDAI demonstrated no significant correlation with either NLR or SII (Table 4).

Linear regression model with implementation of smoking, SII, NLR, PLR, ALKP, ESR, C3, C4, CH50 demonstrated that PLR (p-value = 0.038) along with C3 (p-value = 0.000), CH50 (p-value = 0.005), anti-dsD-NA (p-value = 0.027), and ESR (p-value = 0.042) are independent risk factors of active SLE (Table 5).

**TABLE 1.** Demographic, laboratory, and clinical descriptive of our studied groups

studied groups							
Variable	Case (n=103)	Control (n=103)	p-value				
Demographic							
Age, years, mean ± SD	45.68 ± 10.91	43.50 ± 12.89	0.191				
BMI, kg/m²	24.99 ± 3.05	25.68 ± 3.63	0.142				
Gender, n (%)							
Male	92	90	0.664				
Female	11	13					
Family history, n (%)	8 (7.8)	-	-				
History of chronic diseases, n (%) Hypertension Diabetes mellitus Dyslipidemia	27 (26.2)		0.516 0.810 0.135				
Laboratory paran	neters						
NLR	1.99 ± 1.17	1.47 ± 0.50	< 0.0001				
PLR	131.89 ± 72.83	97.78 ± 35.02	< 0.0001				
AST (U/L) ALT (U/L)	23.39 ± 9.56 24.67 ± 14.65	21.99 ± 6.02 22.25 ± 8.11	0.211 0.114				
Alkp	110.82 ± 34.07	137.73 ± 54.95	< 0.0001				
Cr (μmol/L)	0.98 ± 0.66	$0.94 \pm 0.16$	0.601				
Lymphocyte count	2001.32 ± 843.54	2582.31 ± 744.69	<0.0001				
C3 (mg/l)	89.48 ± 9.68	-	-				
C4 (mg/l)	11.21 ± 1.94	-	-				
ESR (mm/h)	24.84 ± 19.78	-	-				
CH50	108.74 ± 12.10	-	-				
CRP, (mg/L) (median, IQR) Negative +1 +2 +3	48 (46.6) 33 (32) 18 (17.5) 4 (3.9)	- - - -	-				
ANA	88 (85.4)	-	-				
Lupus activity							
SLEDAI SII (10°/L), mean ± SD	8.80 ± 6.89 453.51 ± 358.27	- 350.26 ± 158.07	0.008				
Corticosteroid us	e, n (%)						
Yes No	62 (60.2%) 41 (39.8%)	0 103 (100%)	-				
DMARD use, n (%	5)						
Yes No	91 (88.3%) 12 (11.7%)	0 103 (100%)	-				
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Variable	Case (n=103)	Control (n=103)	p-value			
SLE clinical features, n (%)						
Rash	78 (75.7)	-				
Alopecia	66 (64.1)	-				
Arthritis	39 (37.9)	-				
Low complement levels	36 (35)	-				
Osteoporosis	35 (34)	-				
Oral ulcer	32 (31.1)	-				
Proteinuria	16 (15.5)	-				
Organic brain syndromes	11 (10.7)					
Hematuria	9 (8.7)	-				
Nephritis	9 (8.7)	-				
Myositis	9 (8.7)	-				
Seizure	8 (7.8)	-				
APS	8 (7.8)	-				
DVT	6 (5.8)	-				
Pleurisy	5 (4.9)	-				
Pyuria	5 (4.9)	-				
Lupus headache	3 (2.9)	-				
Abortion	3 (2.9)	-				
Fever	2 (1.9)	-				
Vasculitis	2 (1.9)	-				
Visual disturbance	1 (1)	-				
Cerebrovascular accident	1 (1)	-				

Abbreviations: ANA, anti-nuclear antibody; ALKP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine transferase; APS, anti-phospholipid syndrome; AST, aspartate transferase; BMI, body mass index; Cr, creatinine; CRP, C reactive protein; DMARDs, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune inflammation index; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index

**TABLE 2.** Comparison of inflammatory markers and complement markers between SLE patients with inactive and active disease

Variable	SLE activity				
Variable	SLEDAI < 4 (n=24) SLEDAI ≥ 4 (n=79)		p-value		
NLR, mean ± SD	1.75 ± 0.65	2.06 ± 1.27	0.112		
PLR, mean ± SD	119.34 ± 42.74	135.70 ± 79.59	0.196		
SII, mean ± SD	370.52 ± 166.68	478.73 ± 396.10	0.050		
C3, mean ± SD	94.79 ± 1.02	87.86 ± 10.53	0.002		
C4, mean ± SD	12.00 ± 0.00	10.97 ± 2.16	0.022		
CH50, mean ± SD	109.17 ± 4.08	108.61 ± 13.66	0.750		

Abbreviations: NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune inflammation index; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index

**TABLE 3.** Correlation between clinical manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus in SLEDAI and inflammatory markers

Variable	SII	PLR	NLR
Renal	r= 0.196,	r= 0.150,	r= 0.217,
Kenai	p= 0.047	p= 0.132	p= 0.028
Musculoskeletal	r= 0.041,	r= 0.179,	r= 0.006,
iviusculoskeletai	p= 0.681	p= 0.071	p= 0.955
Serositis	r= -0.008,	r= -0.087,	r= 0.036,
Selositis	p= 0.936	p= 0.384	p= 0.719
Nouronsychiatric	r= -0.037,	r= 0.036,	r= -0.077,
Neuropsychiatric	p= 0.708	p= 0.715	p= 0.438
Mucocutaneous	r= 0.128,	r= 0.165,	r= 0.126,
iviucocutarieous	p= 0.197	p= 0.095	p= 0.205

Abbreviations: NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, plateletto-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune inflammation index; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index

**TABLE 4.** Correlation between inflammatory markers in systemic lupus erythematosus patients

	NLR	PLR	SII	Lymphopenia
ESR	r= 0.388,	r= 0.143,	r= 0.328,	r= -0.023,
ESN	p= 0.000	p= 0.151	p= 0.001	p= 0.816
CLEDAL	r= 0.130,	r= 0.233,	r= 0.150,	r= -0.131,
SLEDAI	p= 0.190	p= 0.018	p= 0.130	p= 0.186
CDD	r= 0.152,	r= 0.222,	r= 0.212,	r= -0.051,
CRP	p = 0.126	p = 0.024	p = 0.031	p= 0.607

Abbreviations: CRP, C reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune inflammation index; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index

### DISCUSSION

Systemic inflammation in rheumatologic diseases results in anemia, thrombocytosis, neutrophilia, monocytosis, and lymphopenia. The changes in circulating blood cells can be prognostic in different inflammatory diseases [16]. NLR, PLR, and SII are novel inflammatory markers that have been well-discussed in different inflammatory diseases and malignancies including inflammatory bowel diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, urinary system cancers, and thyroid cancers [19-24]. Also, NLR and PLR have been associated with chronic diseases such as Hashimoto's disease, type 2 DM, and liver fibrosis [25-28]. These markers have been assessed in different rheumatologic diseases.

Studies showed that NLR is higher in SLE patients compared to healthy individuals [9]. Soliman et al. and Qin et al. revealed the correlation of NLR with CRP, ESR, and SLEDAI and the correlation of PLR with SLEDAI in both studies [29,30]. Peirovy et al. showed that SLEDAI is associated with PLR and NLR as well [31]. These results are compatible with our results that showed NLR and PLR had a significant difference between the two groups of SLE patients and healthy individuals. Although our results revealed

TABLE 5. Multiple Linear Regression Model of SLEDAI

	Model <sup>a</sup>	Unstandardize	Unstandardized Coefficients		t	Sig	Collinearity Statistics	
		В	Std. Error	Beta	_		Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	43.462	10.463		4.154	0.000		,
	ALKP	-0.003	0.018	-0.014	-0.162	0.872	0.844	1.185
	NLR	-0.642	0.977	-0.109	-0.658	0.512	0.240	4.174
	PLR	0.025	0.012	0.263	2.108	0.038	0.420	2.380
	SII	-2.038E-6	0.000	-0.106	-0.539	0.591	0.169	5.016
	C3	-0.293	0.081	-0.412	-3.628	0.000	0.508	1.969
	C4	-0.233	0.382	-0.065	-0.609	0.544	0.568	1.760
	CH50	-0.148	0.052	-0.259	-2.852	0.005	0.792	1.262
	Smoking	3.498	2.467	0.120	1.418	0.160	0.922	1.085
	Anti-dsDNA	2.623	1.165	0.190	2.252	0.027	0.917	1.090
	ESR	0.067	0.033	0.193	2.066	0.042	0.747	1.339

a. Dependent Variable: SLEDAI

Abbreviations: Alkp, alkaline phosphatase; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune inflammation index; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index

that PLR was correlated with and an independent risk factor for SLEDAI, we failed to find a significant correlation between NLR and SII with SLEDAI.

In a study by Taha et al., they evaluated blood-derived markers in SLE, RA, and AS patients in comparison with healthy individuals. They found that PLR and SII had a significantly higher amounts in RA and AS patients, and NLR and PLR were significantly higher in SLE patients than in the controls; however, there were no significant differences in NLR in RA and AS patients and only PLR was correlated with SLEDAI-2k, ESR, and CRP. Taha et al. revealed that NLR was not significantly different between active and inactive SLE patients. They suggested that NLR is useful in SLE diagnosis but not in the prognosis [16]. In a study by Oehadian et al., NLR didn't show any difference between mild and moderate SLE [32]. In our study, we divided SLE patients into two groups of active and inactive SLE based on SLEDAI with the cut-off of 4 and evaluated the mean of PLR, NLR, and SII. The results indicated that only the mean of SII was significantly different between active and inactive SLE groups.

Previous studies demonstrated that NLR was significantly higher in lupus nephritis [29,30]. In a study by Suszek et al., NLR had higher amounts in patients with mucocutaneous manifestations [1]. In our study, we revealed that NLR and SII were correlated with only renal manifestations; this is one of the strengths of our study that SII was not previously assessed to this extent in SLE patients.

SII implements neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts. Higher SII was associated with ischemic stroke severity, higher risk of coronary artery diseases, adverse neonatal outcomes in preterm premature rupture of membranes of pregnant women, and malignancies [33-35]. SII is mostly evaluated in other au-

toimmune diseases rather than SLE. Satis et al. demonstrated that in RA patients, SII is not only significantly higher in comparison to healthy individuals, but also it is higher in patients with active RA than those in remission [10]. In our study, SII was not only significantly different between the SLE and control groups, but also between active and inactive SLE groups; however, it was not correlated with SLEDAI.

Using linear regression, we revealed that after adjusting for multiple factors, PLR with other well-known markers such as C3, CH50, ESR, and anti-dsD-NA were independent prognostic factors of SLE activity.

In a recent study by Ozdemir et al., they evaluated NLR, PLR, and SII in patients with lupus nephritis and showed that only NLR is significantly higher in lupus nephritis. However, we demonstrated that SII, along with NLR, is associated with renal manifestations of SLE [15]. We also evaluated these three markers with different manifestations of SLE but only renal manifestations were associated with NLR and SII. This encourages more multi-center studies with more participants to be performed in order to establish SII role in SLE patients.

The limitations to this study are as follows: first, this is a case-control study which has the limitations of the nature of the study design. Second, one blood sample was drawn from the patients and evaluated; this does not reflect the dynamics of the blood-derived parameters. However, we assessed the clinical manifestations and other variables when the blood sample was drawn in order to moderate this limitation. Finally, there may be some variations in the blood cell count in different months of the year due to changes in the weather. This may have some effects on our results, which was unavoidable.

### CONCLUSIONS

PLR, NLR, and SII are emerging inflammatory markers that demonstrated good results in SLE activity. However, in this study, we revealed that PLR was a better marker correlated with SLE activity. More studies are suggested to assess the role of SII in SLE with consideration of numerous confounding factors.

Conflict of interest: none declared Financial support: none declared

### **REFERENCES**

- Suszek D, Górak A, Majdan M. Differential approach to peripheral blood cell ratios in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and various manifestations. *Rheumatol Int.* 2020 Oct;40(10):1625-29. doi: 10.1007/s00296-020-04669-3.
- Yu H, Jiang L, Yao L, Gan C, Han X, Liu R, Su N. Predictive value of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and hemoglobin insystemic lupus erythematosus. *Exp Ther Med.* 2018 Aug;16(2):1547-53. doi: 10.3892/etm.2018.6309.
- Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, Brinks R, Mosca M, Ramsey-Goldman R, et al. 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/ American College of Rheumatology Classification Criteria for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019 Sep;71(9):1400-12. doi: 10.1002/art.40930.
- Sternhagen E, Bettendorf B, Lenert A, Lenert PS. The Role of Clinical Features and Serum Biomarkers in Identifying Patients with Incomplete Lupus Erythematosus at Higher Risk of Transitioning to Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Current Perspectives. J Inflamm Res. 2022 Feb 18;15:1133-1145. doi: 10.2147/JIR.S275043.
- Yu J, Zeng T, Wu Y, Tian Y, Tan L, Duan X, et al. Neutrophil-to-C3 ratio and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio were associated with disease activity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Clin Lab Anal. 2019 Jan;33(1):e22633. doi: 10.1002/jcla.22633.
- Gasparyan AY, Ayvazyan L, Mikhailidis DP, Kitas GD. Mean platelet volume: a link between thrombosis and inflammation? *Curr Pharm Des.* 2011;17(1):47-58. doi: 10.2174/138161211795049804.
- 7. Soori H, Rezapoor P, Najafimehr H, Alirezaei T, Irilouzadian R. Comparative analysis of anthropometric indices with serum uric acid in Iranian healthy population. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2022 Feb;36(2):e24246. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24246.
- Yolbas S, Yildirim A, Gozel N, Uz B, Koca SS. Hematological Indices May Be Useful in the Diagnosis of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and in Determining Disease Activity in Behçet's Disease. Med Princ Pract. 2016;25(6):510-16. doi: 10.1159/000447948.
- Wu Y, Chen Y, Yang X, Chen L, Yang Y. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) were associated with disease activity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2016 Jul;36:94-99. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2016.04.006.
- Satis S. New Inflammatory Marker Associated with Disease Activity in Rheumatoid Arthritis: The Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index. *Curr Health Sci J.* 2021 Oct-Dec;47(4):553-57. doi: 10.12865/CHSJ.47.04.11.
- Kim JW, Jung JY, Suh CH, Kim HA. Systemic immune-inflammation index combined with ferritin can serve as a reliable assessment score for adult-onset Still's disease. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2021 Feb;40(2):661-68. doi: 10.1007/s10067-020-05266-2.
- Kim JW, Jung JY, Suh CH, Kim HA. Systemic immune-inflammation index combined with ferritin can serve as a reliable assessment score for adult-onset Still's disease. Clin Rheumatol. 2021 Feb; 40(2):661-668. doi: 10.1007/s10067-020-05266-2.
- 13. Dincer Rota D, Tanacan E. The utility of systemic-immune inflammation index for predicting the disease activation in patients with psoriasis. Int J Clin Pract. 2021 Jun;75(6):e14101. doi: 10.1111/jicp.14101.
- Alirezaei T, Soori H, Irilouzadian R, Najafimehr H. Novel Anthropometric Indices as Screening Tools for Obesity: A Study on Healthy Iranians. J Nutr Metab. 2023 Oct 3;2023:6612411. doi: 10.1155/2023/6612411.

- Ozdemir A, Baran E, Kutu M, Celik S, Yılmaz M. Could systemic immune inflammation index be a new parameter for diagnosis and disease activity assessment in systemic lupus erythematosus? *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2023 Jan;55(1):211-16. doi: 10.1007/s11255-022-03320-3.
- Taha SI, Samaan SF, Ibrahim RA, Moustafa NM, El-Sehsah EM, Youssef MK. Can Complete Blood Count Picture Tell Us More About the Activity of Rheumatological Diseases? Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord. 2022 Apr 22;15:11795441221089182. doi: 10.1177/11795441221089182.
- 17. Yee CS, Farewell VT, Isenberg DA, Griffiths B, Teh LS, Bruce IN, et al. The use of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index-2000 to define active disease and minimal clinically meaningful change based on data from a large cohort of systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *Rheumatology* (Oxford). 2011 May;50(5):982-8. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keq376.
- Srand V, Chu AD. 133 assessing disease activity and outcome in systemic lupus erythematosus. In Hochberg MC, Silman AJ, Smolen JS, Weinblatt ME, Weisman MH, eds. Rheumatology (sixth edition). Philadelphia: Mosby, 2015. p. 1093-8.
- 19. Ari A, Gunver F. Comparison of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-lymphocyte ratio in patients with thyroiditis and papillary tumors. *J Int Med Res.* 2019 May;47(5):2077-83. doi: 10.1177/0300060519838392.
- Chen JH, Zhai ET, Yuan YJ, Wu KM, Xu JB, Peng JJ, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index for predicting prognosis of colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2017 Sep 14;23(34):6261-72. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i34.6261.
- Hu B, Yang XR, Xu Y, Sun YF, Sun C, Guo W, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index predicts prognosis of patients after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014 Dec 1;20(23):6212-22. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0442.
- Huang H, Liu Q, Zhu L, Zhang Y, Lu X, Wu Y, Liu L. Prognostic Value of Preoperative Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index in Patients with Cervical Cancer. Sci Rep. 2019 Mar 1;9(1):3284. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39150-0.
- Posul E, Yilmaz B, Aktas G, Kurt M. Does neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predict active ulcerative colitis? Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2015 Apr;127(7-8):262-5. doi: 10.1007/s00508-014-0683-5.
- 24. Li X, Gu L, Chen Y, Chong Y, Wang X, Guo P, He D. Systemic immuneinflammation index is a promising non-invasive biomarker for predicting the survival of urinary system cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Med.* 2021 Dec;53(1):1827-38. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2021.1991591.
- Kosekli MA. Mean platelet volume and platelet to lymphocyte count ratio are associated with hepatitis B-related liver fibrosis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2022 Mar 1;34(3):324-27. doi: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000002219.
- Duman TT, Aktas G, Atak BM, Kocak MZ, Erkus E, Savli H. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as an indicative of diabetic control level in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Afr Health Sci.* 2019 Mar;19(1):1602-6. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v19i1.35.
- Aktas G, Sit M, Dikbas O, Erkol H, Altinordu R, Erkus E, Savli H. Elevated neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in the diagnosis of Hashimoto's thyroiditis. *Rev Assoc Med Bras* (1992). 2017 Dec;63(12):1065-68. doi:10.1590/1806-9282.63.12.1065.
- Alirezaei T, Sattari H, Irilouzadian R. Significant decrease in plasmaddimer levels and mean platelet volume after a 3-month treatment

- with rosuvastatin in patients with venous thromboembolism. *Clin Cardiol.* 2022 Jul;45(7):717-22. doi: 10.1002/clc.23833.
- 29. Soliman WM, Sherif NM, Ghanima IM, El-Badawy MA. Neutrophil to lymphocyte and platelet to lymphocyte ratios in systemic lupus erythematosus: Relation with disease activity and lupus nephritis. *Reumatol Clin (*Engl Ed). 2020 Jul-Aug;16(4):255-61. English, Spanish. doi: 10.1016/j.reuma.2018.07.008.
- Qin B, Ma N, Tang Q, Wei T, Yang M, Fu H, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) were useful markers in assessment of inflammatory response and disease activity in SLE patients. *Mod Rheumatol.* 2016;26(3):372-6. doi: 10.3109/14397595.2015.1091136.
- Peirovy A, Malek Mahdavi A, Khabbazi A, Hajialilo M, Sakhinia E, Rashtchizadeh N. Clinical Usefulness of Hematologic Indices as Predictive Parameters for Systemic *Lupus Erythematosus*. Lab Med. 2020 Sep 1;51(5):519-28. doi: 10.1093/labmed/lmaa002.
- 32. Oehadian A, Suryadinata H, Dewi S, Pramudyo R, Alisjahbana B. The role of neutrophyl lymphocyte count ratio as an inflammatory

- marker in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Acta Med Indones*. 2013 Jul;45(3):170-4. PMID: 24045385
- 33. Hou D, Wang C, Luo Y, Ye X, Han X, Feng Y, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) but not platelet-albumin-bilirubin (PALBI) grade is associated with severity of acute ischemic stroke (AIS). *Int J Neurosci.* 2021 Dec;131(12):1203-8. doi: 10.1080/00207454.2020.1784166.
- 34. Tanacan A, Uyanik E, Unal C, Beksac MS. A cut-off value for systemic immune-inflammation index in the prediction of adverse neonatal outcomes in preterm premature rupture of the membranes. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2020 Aug;46(8):1333-41. doi: 10.1111/jog.14320.
- Yang YL, Wu CH, Hsu PF, Chen SC, Huang SS, Chan WL, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) predicted clinical outcome in patients with coronary artery disease. Eur J Clin Invest. 2020 May;50(5):e13230. doi: 10.1111/eci.13230.