THE ROLE OF CONTRAST-ENHANCED ULTRASONOGRAPHY IN EARLY RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS
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Abstract
The diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is, most often, challenging, due to the variety of clinical features and to the absence of gold standard tests for diagnosing this disease. Early diagnosis as well as the identification of poor prognostic markers will lead to an early, appropriate treatment that will prevent the evolution towards a severe disease, offering the chance for a complete remission. Ultrasonography (US), used as an extension of clinical examination, helps in the early classification of the disease. Power Doppler is important for quantification of synovial inflammation, both at diagnosis and during therapy monitoring, but has limits in detecting blood flow in small vessels. Ultrasound contrast-agents improve visualization of vascularity and allow a better characterization and quantification of synovial pannus inflammation. We aim to discuss the role of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) in the diagnosis and follow-up of early RA.
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INTRODUCTION
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic multisystemic inflammatory arthritis, characterized by chronic synovial inflammation leading to progressive structural damage. If not treated, the synovial pannus infiltrate the subchondral bone and the disease progresses to joint space loss and significant disability. Early diagnosis is essential, as an early and aggressive treatment would delay the disease progression (1,2).

The new ACR/EULAR criteria were developed to classify the disease in the early stages (3). Although clinical features are suggestive for this inflammatory arthritis, especially in the long-standing disease, different conditions may mimic RA in the early phases, sometimes leading to a delayed diagnosis.

During the last years, ultrasonography (US) became a useful imagistic tool for evaluating synovial hypertrophy, demonstrating a higher sensitivity in detecting synovitis, compared to clinical examination (4-7). Moreover, power Doppler (PD) allows a good observation of pannus vascularization, indicating the degree of synovial inflammation. Studies demonstrate that the development of microvessels occurs early in the course of the disease, and that serologic markers for angiogenesis correlate with the disease activity and radiographic progression (8-10). Angiogenesis is related to joint destruction to a greater extent compared to pannus growth (11). Thus, imaging modalities like US and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), by identifying the early vascular damage in RA, facilitate the diagnosis, especially in cases when clinical and laboratory features are insufficient (12).

Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) has the capacity of visualising blood flow with low velocity, providing more information about synovial pannus vascularization compared to PD (13-17). To distinguish an active synovitis from joint fluid, blood
clots or fibrin using Grey-scale US (GSUS) may be difficult, due to the different degrees of echogenicity. CEUS has demonstrated the capability for differentiating an active pannus from fibrosis and necrosis and therefore the possibility to measure the thickness of the active synovial hypertrophy (16). Time-intensity curve (TIC) parameters calculation, using dedicated software, allow a better quantification of inflammation. Thus, CEUS represents an imaging technique of added value for evaluating both early and late stage RA (18).

**TECHNIQUE**

**Contrast agents**

Leovist, a first generation contrast agent, was used in several studies (15,19-20). It contains air filled microbubbles, with a mean diameter of 2-3 μm stabilised by palmitic acid in a galactose based suspension, administered as a bolus or in a slow perfusion.

Sonovue is a second generation contrast agent containing sulfur hexafluoride microbubbles coated by a phospholipid capsule, as a lyophilisate powder. It is prepared in a liquid suspension by adding 5 ml of saline solution to the powder before the administration. It is not soluble in blood and remains intravascular. The microbubbles have a mean diameter of 3 μm, similar to red blood cells.

After injection, microbubbles circulate in blood and reflect US more than the other tissues. The elimination half-time of Sonovue is 5-6 minutes and is exhaled through the lungs (21).

In contrast to the contrast medium used for MRI, US contrast agents remain intravasal, and will therefore reflect more accurately the abnormalities in the vascularized synovium (22). The post-contrast increase of echo intensity is an indicator of synovial vascularity, and therefore, of active synovial inflammation (23).

After bolus administration, the microbubbles become visible in the joint space and periarticular tissue in 20-30 seconds, the time being related to joints and vessels dimensions. The window of examination is usually 3-5 minutes, this time being longer only if the contrast agent is administered in microperfusion compared to bolus administration. Using bolus administration of the contrast agent, CEUS allows the examination of one or maximum two joints, because the microbubbles will be eliminated through lungs on approximately 5 minutes.

**Equipment**

US machines equipped with high frequency linear probes are used and a low mechanical index is necessary in order to minimize bubbles disruption. (22) Dedicated software both for CEUS technique as for measuring time-intensity curves parameters is needed.

**CEUS in early rheumatoid arthritis**

Early diagnosis is essential for the clinician, as an early and aggressive treatment would have a major impact on the evolution of the disease. Currently, RA progression is routinely assessed using conventional X-Rays, while MRI and US enable the examination of soft tissues. MRI has proven the role in characterizing synovial inflammation, tendons, bursae and also bone erosions (24,25). However, due to the high costs, this imaging method has a limited availability.

The role of US for assessing joint and tendon inflammation in RA, as well as bone damage, was already demonstrated (26-28). The research focused on CEUS has shown that this imaging method can improve the detection of these features in early RA (18).

**CEUS versus power Doppler**

PDUS is currently used for assessing the degree of synovial inflammation, being correlated with the disease activity, the radiographic progression and with a severe course of the disease in early RA (9, 29-31). Therefore, detection of pannus vascularization is essential both for diagnosis and for treatment monitoring in early RA patients. However, an important limit of PD would be the difficulty in assessing very small proliferated vessels in the synovial membrane, formed in the angiogenesis process. In contrast, CEUS is able to evaluate tissue perfusion independent from the flow speed (16). Published studies demonstrated that CEUS using a first generation contrast agent improved PD signal in the inflamed synovium, enabling detection of subclinical inflammation (19,32).

Moreover, due to microbubbles enhancement, CEUS avoids Doppler-specific artefact (16).

**Joints**

The capacity of CEUS to differentiate between an active synovial pannus and an old pannus or fibrous or necrotic tissue is useful both at diagnosis, and during the monitoring of the disease, allowing the optimal therapeutic intervention. (Fig. 1)
Persistent active synovitis is associated to poor prognosis and, consequently, to joint damage. Contrast agent administration allows a better evaluation of active synovitis compared to PDUS (16), thus increasing US sensitivity and improving the assessment of the disease activity. (Fig. 2 a, b)

In the study of Klauser et al, CEUS allowed differentiation of active synovitis from inactive synovial thickening in 97.3% compared to 60.1% of patients if only GS and PDUS were used. The thickness of the synovium was more accurately measured after contrast administration (16). Earlier studies demonstrated that synovial volumes determined using MRI were correlated to the disease inflammation, suggesting that synovial inflammation may be considered a predictor of the disease activity (33).

It has been demonstrated that subclinical disease is present in a significant proportion of patients with RA. Residual positive PD was found in 41% to 43% of patients considered in clinical remission (34,35). CEUS enabled the detection of inflammation in 49% of clinically inactive joint and in 98% of moderate active joints, while PD in 8% and 52%, respectively, demonstrating an improvement in the detection of blood flow in the hand small joints (15). These observations underline the importance of US examination also for the evaluation of joints less clinically involved.

As synovial hypertrophy is correlated with a more severe disease, its thickness measurement could be a useful parameter for treatment follow-up (16).

**Tendons**

The benefits of CEUS are reported not only when evaluating joints, but also for demonstrating tendon and bursa inflammation.

Tenosynovitis is a frequent finding in RA, responsible for pain, physical disability and for structural damage. Complications like tendon-ruptures may also occur. US is currently used for evaluating the extension of the disease at tendon level in RA, as these abnormalities are not visible using conventional X-Rays. Flexor digitorum tendons and wrist extensors are usually involved in RA, extensor ulnaris carpis being more frequently inflamed in the early stages of the disease (36). Regional perfusion within tendons and tendon sheaths using CEUS was less studied and there is only a few data published in healthy individuals (37,38). In a study based on experimental rabbit model, the authors have shown temporal changes in vascularity of Achille tendon injected with collagenase at various doses, while PD was less useful for assessment of neo-vascularization (39).

Klauser et al. demonstrated that CEUS was more sensitive compared to PD in identifying tendon vascularity in RA patients. The extent of vascularity was better evaluated using CEUS and was not correlated with tenosynovial thickening (40).

**Bone erosions**

Bone erosions represent the hallmark of RA and their early identification is important, as they are con-
sidered a poor prognostic factor (41,42). A significant percentage of patients develop bone erosions during the first year of the disease (43). Bone erosions first arise at the bare areas due to the lack of cartilage and consequent to synovial pannus invasion. Using MRI, early bone erosions may be identified in a significant percentage of patients during the first months of the disease (44). Due to the easy access of the US beam to the anatomical sites frequently involved in RA, US has been proved of value for rapid identification of bone erosions, including the early stages of the disease (45-49). CEUS may provide additional information related to bone erosion vascularization.

**CEUS versus MRI**

A good correlation between CEUS and MRI for the detection and characterisation of synovitis was seen in various published studies (19,32,50).
In the study of Ohrndorf et al, patients with RA were examined using US, CEUS and MRI before and after receiving anti TNF-alpha treatment (51). The results showed that CEUS expressed the highest correlation with MRI, demonstrating an increased sensitivity compared to GS and PD. In this study, both subjective and objective quantification, using the slope value of the time-intensity curves, correlated with MRI. The authors suggested that, due to its high correlation with MRI, CEUS examination of the clinically most affected joint, may reflect the disease activity (51).

**CEUS versus Histopathology**

Systemic autoimmunity precedes the development of clinical signs and symptoms of RA by several years (52) and is also present before the development of synovial inflammation in patients at risk for developing RA (53). Previous studies have shown that cell infiltration and the expression of inflammatory cytokines are similar in early and long standing RA (54,55).

In early RA, the maximal lining thickness of the synovial membrane was demonstrated to be significantly thinner that in late stage RA. There are differences regarding the vascular changes in the synovium between RA and other inflammatory joint pathologies, in the early phases of the disease, with straight vessels in RA compared to a bushy and tortuous appearance of vessels in psoriatic arthritis and reactive arthritis (56). In early RA there is increased vascularity. However, it seems that no differences regarding macroscopic vascularity in early versus late disease were demonstrated (55,57).

Few studies comparing CEUS abnormalities and histopathological aspects of the synovium in RA have been published. In the early phases of RA, CEUS better enabled the vascular flow when compared to PD or colour Doppler. (58)

Jiang Y. (2011) found correlations between the immunohistochemical markers of neovascularisation in the synovial pannus and TIC parameters (peak, area under the curve, and slope) (59).

In another study on animal models, CEUS was significantly correlated with synovial thickness of the synovium in knee antigen-induced arthritis. Synovial thickness measured using CEUS was better correlated with the pathology synovitis score in the chronic phase of inflammation compared to capsule thickness measured by US without contrast (60).

**Quantification of inflammation**

Quantification is needed to evaluate data objectively, to characterize inflammation in a specific area, to monitor the therapeutic response (61).

In this new era of biological therapy it would be ideal to have the possibility of measuring and quantifying the degree of synovial vascularity in RA.

CEUS examination allows synovial inflammation evaluation using semiquantitative scores and quantitative assessment by measuring the synovial membrane thickness or by analyzing the time-intensity curves.

**Subjective grading of contrast enhancement**

The semiquantitative scoring system for CEUS is reproducible and practical, but studies are still needed (23).

There is no consensus regarding the abnormalities that need to be scored: the intensity of enhancement, the quantity of microbubbles or the area covered with microbubbles.

There is published data documenting the role of subjective grading of CEUS in patients with RA (15). The authors quantified the degree of enhancement for evaluating the activity of synovial hypertrophy, providing a semiquantitative score, from inactive to moderately active and to highly active joint.

Klauser et al. studied the subjective versus the objective CEUS in RA patients. The results showed that both the intensity and the extent of vascularization were significantly higher when assessed with CEUS than with PDUS. No correlations between clinical activity and sonographic vascularity were found. The objective method correlated with PDUS grading. A significant correlation between the two modalities of CEUS examination was observed; however the authors suggests that objective measurement may be important for therapy follow-up (62).

**Objective measurement of contrast enhancement using TIC**

TIC parameters are descriptive and allow an objective quantification of vascularization in the analyzed anatomical area. (Fig. 2. c, d, e) Choosing the region of interest for calculating TIC may play an important role for an accurate assessment of synovial inflammation (23). There are several TIC parameters described – some of them being related to blood
FIGURE 3. CEUS enhancement of the right IC joint (arrow) at baseline (a) and at 6 months follow-up (b) in a patient diagnosed with early RA, treated with conventional synthetic drugs. CEUS: contrast-enhanced ultrasonography; IC: intercarpal; RA: rheumatoid arthritis.
volume (peak intensity, area under the curve), while others related to blood flow (time-to-peak, slope of the ascending curve) (61). Studies on animal models demonstrated that TIC parameters correlated with the immunohistochemical findings in the inflamed joint tissue. Thus, TIC parameters would allow a true quantification of the disease activity (59).

Platzgummer showed a good correlation between different TIC parameters and PD, raising the question if CEUS is really needed in the daily clinical practice.

Although both subjective and objective methods are shown to be useful in demonstrating synovial inflammation, quantification using TIC would allow a better assessment of the disease activity and could be used to analyze the response to treatment (59,62).

Treatment monitoring

To differentiate between a fibrotic pannus and an active synovitis is of help not only at diagnosis, but also in the treatment follow-up. The decrease in vascularity as well as the reduction of the inflamed tissue are important for establishing the response to treatment. (Fig. 3. a, b)

Less published data exists regarding the treatment monitoring in RA using CEUS. Salaffi et al demonstrated that oral/ intraarticular corticosteroids lead to a significant decrease of the area under the curve of contrast enhancement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and knee synovitis (63).

In the study of Ohrndorf et al., the authors demonstrated that PD and CEUS were both sensitive methods for detecting synovial inflammation and useful for the anti-TNF alpha therapy monitoring (51).

In another study, both PD and quantitative CEUS (using the area under the time-intensity curve) allowed detection of synovial vascularity in finger joints in RA patients before and after corticosteroids treatment, but minor changes of vascularity were better evaluated using CEUS (64).

Limits

Limitations to the use of CEUS include the halftime of the contrast agent, and, as a consequence, the small number of joints that can be evaluated in one examination. The technique is microinvasive and exhibits increased costs, which possible limit its use. Prolonged examination and interpretation time represents another limitation of CEUS use.

The lack of sufficient data for CEUS in healthy joints and the absence of cut-off values for TIC parameters also limit its use for diagnosis purposes and for therapy monitoring.

Operator-dependency of US imaging is one of the major limitations that may influence the results and their interpretation.

CONCLUSION

In early RA, US should be used as the first-line imaging technique, both for diagnosis and follow-up. CEUS further allows a more sensitive assessment of synovial vascularity, adding information on the disease activity. CEUS abnormalities with significant impact for rheumatologists, as well as their prognostic value, still remain to be determined.
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