Can SDAI remission be predicted in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis treated with anti-TNF agents?

Cristina Pomirleanu^{1,2}, Alexandra Jitaru^{2,3}, Raluca Maxim², Codruta Belibou^{2,4}, Codrina Ancuta^{1,2}

¹Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Discipline, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi ²Rheumatology 2 Department, Clinical Rehabilitation Hospital, Iasi ³Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi

⁴Research Department, Clinical Rehabilitation Hospital, Iasi

ABSTRACT

Objective. To identify predictors for Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) remission in established rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and to develop a predictive score for remission. **Methods.** Prospective 12-month observational study in ninety active RA receiving their first TNF-α inhibitor. Stan-

dard assessments consisted of disease activity scores (DAS28-ESR, SDAI) and immune parameters (total rheumatoid factor, RF; IGA-RF; anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies, ACPA). The primary outcome measure was SDAI remission (≤ 3.3) at 12 months.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to estimate association between baseline variables and SDAI remission.

Results. 39.7% RA achieved remission, while 56.8% low disease activity. Significant association between SDAI remission and RA-onset before 50 (p = 0.000), history <5 years (p = 0.000), stage (p = 0.000), class I and II Steinbroker functional status (p = 0.022), HAQ-DI<2 (p = 0.034), CRP ≤ 20 mg/l (p = 0.041), IgA-RF ≤ 20 IU/ml (p = 0.002), ACPA ≤ 40 IU/ml (p = 0.047), concomitant DMARDs (p = 0.003) were identified. Four parameters independently predicted 12-month remission (age at onset under 50, RA duration <5 years, ACPA<40 IU/ml, IgA-RF ≤ 20 IU/ml) as demonstrated by multivariate logistic regression (p<0.05), making correct prediction in 84.4% patients. Furthermore, the remission score correctly classified 90.6% RA, while the transformed simplified version up to 89.4% cases. Gender, clinical parameters and ESR were not predictors for treatment response (p > 0.05). **Conclusion.** SDAI remission can be predicted in established RA using a score based on age at onset, disease duration, titers of ACPA and RF isotype A. Such a simplified score may help clinicians to manage remission in RA patients according to the current treatment guidelines.

Keywords: anti-TNF agents, established rheumatoid arthritis, predictors, remission, SDAI

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic inflammatory disease of a still unknown etiology, but a complex and dynamic pathophysiology, hypothesized to develop in genetically susceptible host (1).

It has been shown that early intervention with disease-modifying antirheumatic therapies (non-biologic and biologic DMARDs) represents the optimal care of patients with RA and gives the best opportunity for attempting to achieve disease remission (2).

However, predicting the course of a particular case of RA at the outset of a new treatment option

remains challenging, although different predictors of an unfavorable prognosis in terms of joint damage and disability have already been recognized (3).

Remission is considered a disease status, not a simple change or transition, meaning generally the absence of disease activity and predicting the best clinical, functional and structural outcomes. Nevertheless, increasing numbers of patients reaching remission as well as abundance of Boolean and indexbased remission definitions (Disease Activity Score DAS28, Clinical Disease Activity Index CDAI, and Simplified Disease Activity Index SDAI) have suggested the need for a uniform definition of RA remission such as the new ACR/EULAR provisional definition of RA remission (4,5,6).

SDAI is a simple sum of five outcome measures counting tender and swollen joints, patient and physician global RA assessment as well as C-reactive protein (CRP). Different cut-off levels are actually validated for SDAI as follows: 3.3 indicating remission (REM), 11 indicating low disease activity (LDA), 26 moderate disease activity (MDA), while a value higher than 26 is commonly used for defining high disease activity (HDA) (7,8).

Several research papers (9,10,11,12) have already indicated that SDAI may be successfully used in clinical practice instead of other validated indexes in order to define patients achieving remission in various RA settings.

We performed a prospective study aiming to identify predictors for SDAI remission in patients with established RA treated with TNF inhibitors and to develop a prediction score for remission.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Ninety consecutive patients fulfilling the 1987 ACR classification criteria for RA, with established severe active disease (DAS28 \geq 5.1, SDAI \geq 26) requiring biologics were enrolled in a prospective observational 12-months study. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined according to the recommendations of the Romanian Society of Rheumatology that calls for anti-TNFs in highly active disease with suboptimal response to previous therapy with at least two synthetic Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs (DMARDs) including methotrexate (13).

Patients were assigned to one of three treatment groups according to the decision of their treating rheumatologist, 33 RA further receiving adalimumab, 30 etanercept and 27 infliximab. Concomitant non-biological DMARDs (methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine, hydroxycloroquine) were allowed, while oral corticosteroids (≤ 10 mg/day prednisone or equivalent) only if maintained at a stable dose within 12 weeks prior to enrollment.

Standard assessments consisted of 28 joint count, patient reported outcomes, laboratory specimens for CRP, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), total rheumatoid factor (RF) and IgA-RF isotype, anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies (ACPA), as well as disease activity scores (DA28-ESR, SDAI). Total RF was measured by latex immunoturbidimetric method (cut-off value 14 IU/ml), IgA-RF by ELI-SA (cut-off 20 IU/ml), and IgG-ACPA by Fluoro-Immuno-Enzymatic (FEIA) assay (cut-off 10 IU/ml).

The main outcome was SDAI remission at 12 months. Treatment response was measured by EU-LAR-DAS28 criteria (14).

Local Ethical Committee approval and written informed consent were obtained prior the study.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The baseline characteristics were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables, whereas chi square was used for categorical variables.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression expressed as odds ration (OR), with 95% confidential interval (CI), and 2-tailed "p" were used to estimate the association between potential predictors and SDAI remission.

We created several models based on different (demographic, clinical, biological) variables aiming to investigate their influence on disease outcome (SDAI remission) after 12 months of biological therapy. We considered remission as a binary variable and, subsequently, we constructed binary logistic regression models using different parameters as predictors. We have applied the logistic regression Forward LR model; the innitial step without any predictor, further steps of the algorithm adding one by one different predictors.

Variables included in the logistic regression model have been identified to be statistically significant (p < 0.05) by initial individual logistic regression (univariate analysis) performed for each parameter potentially involved as a predictor for therapeutic response

To obtain a remission score we considered the mathematical formula $(\beta 1 \times V1) + (\beta 2 \times V2) + (\beta 3 \times V3) \dots + \alpha + e$, where β is the regression coefficient of the variable, V the independent variable, alpha the constant and e the error. To evaluate the predictive power of the score we constructed a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the area under this curve measuring the concordance of predictive values with current outcome.

Finally, we developed a simplified remission score based on factors obtained in the regression analysis. All statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS16, with ,, $p^{"} < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Patients and remission rates

A cohort of long-standing RA, mainly female (81.1%), with an average age 55.56 ± 10.75 years, average disease duration 10.9 ± 6.2 years, mean DAS28 7.50 ± 0.40 and mean SDAI 51.38 ± 5.42 were enrolled in the study. Baseline characteristics (Table 1) did not differ significantly between study groups, except the ESR (p = 0.009).

Regression analysis

Predictors

All variables were independently analyzed by univariate logistic regression; only nine parameters were statistically significant (chi squared, p<0.05) and used further as predictors for remission: age at onset, RA duration and stage, functional class and HAQ-DI, CRP, IgA-RF, ACPA, and concomitant DMARDs.

Statistical analysis showed significant association between SDAI remission and onset before 50 years (OR: 5.25, 95% CI 2.27-12.14; p=0.000), RA duration under 5 years (OR:5.53, 95% CI 2.40-12.75; p=0.000), first and second RA stage (OR:4.22, 95% CI 1.99-8.94; p=0.000), functional status as reflected by Steinbroker class I and II and HAQ-DI ≤ 2 (OR:2.67, 95% CI 1.07-6.68; p=0.022; OR:2.39, 95% CI 1.02-5.60; p=0.034, respectively), CRP ≤ 20 mg/l (OR:1.75, 95% CI 0.81-3.73; p=0.041), IgA-RF ≤ 20 IU/ml (OR:5.76, 95% CI 1.43-23.23; p=0.002), ACPA ≤ 40 IU/ml (OR:1.99, 95% CI 0.95-4.17; p=0.047) and concomitant DMARDs (OR:5.50, 95% CI 1.36-22.13; p=0.003). Gender, clinical individual parameters and ESR were not predictors for treatment response in the total study population (p>0.05).

Moreover, multivariate logistic regression demonstrated that only age under 50 at onset, symptoms duration up to 5 years, baseline ACPA \leq 40 IU/ ml and IgA-RF \leq 20 IU/ml remained independently associated with SDAI remission at 12 months (Table 2), making correct prediction in 84.4% cases (Hosmer and Lemeshow test fitting $\lambda^2 = 3.695$, p = 0.718 and Cox and Snell R2 = 0.432). The use of other factors does not improve the prediction level in our patient population.

Multivariate remission score

Taking into account the model of the remission score recently proposed by Ma et al. in early RA

		Treatr				
Parameter	Total	Adalimumab	Etanercept	Infliximab	р	
	n = 90	n = 33	n = 30	n = 27		
Age (years)*	55.56 ± 10.75	53.64 ± 11.93	55.13 ± 9.99	58.37 ± 9.80	0.231	
Women**	73 (81.1%)	29 (87.9%)	21 (70%)	23 (85.2%)	0.161	
RA stage 3/4**	67 (74.4%)	24 (72.7%)	23 (76.7%)	20 (74.1%)	0.899	
Concomitant CS**	50 (50.6%)	22 (66.7%)	17 (56.7%)	11 (40.7%)	0.134	
MTX**	23 (25.6%)	5 (15.2%)	10 (33.3%)	8 (29.6%)	0.160	
LEF**	28 (31.1%)	8 (24.2%)	7 (23.3%)	13 (48.1%)		
Others DMARDs**	39 (43.3%)	20 (60.6%)	13 (43.3%)	6 (22.2%)		
TJC (28)*	18.53 ± 2.82	18.15 ± 3.01	19.10 ± 3.29	18.36 ± 1.77	0.154	
SJC (28)*	11.81 ± 2.42	11.67 ± 2.52	12.20 ± 2.95	11.52 ± 1.41	0.081	
DAS28-ESR*	7.50 ± 0.40	7.46 ± 0.44	7.42 ± 0.33	7.63 ± 0.40	0.382	
SDAI	51.38 ± 5.42	50.86 ± 5.87	51.06 ± 8.30	51.39 ± 3.83	0.287	
HAQ-DI (0-3)*	2.02 ± 0.33	2.03 ± 0.33	2.03 ± 0.31	2.00 ± 0.34	0.387	
ESR (mm/1h)***	66.61	68.58	57.07	75.48	0.009	
CRP (mg/liter)***	36.43	36.71	39.30	32.64	0.254	
RF (IU/ml)***	228.73	232.57	189.61	270.60	0.245	
lgA-RF (IU/ml)***	41.05	36.28	41.26	40.71	0.267	
ACPA (IU/ml)***	99.3	114.36	76.24	107.20	0.578	

TABLE 1. Demographics, clinical and biological characteristics of RA patients at baseline

ACPA – anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody; CRP – C-reactive protein; DAS28 – Disease Activity Score; DMARDs – Disease Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs; ESR – erytrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ-DI – Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index; LEF – leflunomide; MTX – methotrexate; CS – corticosteroids; RA – rheumatoid arthritis; RF – rheumatoid factor; SJC – swollen joint count; SDAI – Simplified Disease Activity Index; TJC – tender joint count; * – mean \pm SD; ** – n (%); *** – mean

After 12 months, 50 patients (56.8%) achieved LDA, and 35 (39.7%) were in remission according to SDAI criteria. These frequencies correspond to the following distribution in treatment group analysis: 42.4% remission for adalimumab, 36.6% for etanercept and 40% for infliximab.

		В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp (B)
Step 1 ^a	Onset of disease ≤ 5 years	-2.526	.542	21.712	1	.000	.080
	Constant	1.427	.321	19.710	1	.000	4.167
Step 2 ^b	Onset of disease ≤ 5 years	-2.481	.599	17.154	1	.000	.084
	ACPA ≤ 40 IU/mI	-1.938	.578	11.253	1	.001	.144
	Constant	2.186	.454	23.157	1	.000	8.895
Step 3°	Age ≤ 50 years	-1.664	.627	7.043	1	.008	.189
	Onset of disease ≤ 5 years	-2.041	.631	10.453	1	.001	.130
	ACPA ≤ 40 IU/mI	-1.980	.612	10.469	1	.001	.138
	Constant	2.666	.539	24.463	1	.000	14.383
Step 4 ^d	Age ≤ 50 years	-1.528	.652	5.502	1	.019	.217
	Onset of disease ≤ 5 years	-2.243	.689	10.609	1	.001	.106
	RF isotype A≤ 20 IU/mI	-1.737	.763	5.185	1	.023	.176
	ACPA ≤ 40 IU/mI	-1.632	.653	6.247	1	.012	.195
	Constant	3.759	.821	20.948	1	.000	42.892

TABLE 2. Forward Logistic Regression (LR) model for SDAI remission

ACPA - anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody; RF - rheumatoid factor.

(15), we generated a multivariate remission score using the coefficients derived from multivariate logistic regression.

Our remission score was $(-1.528 \text{ x age} \le 50 \text{ years})$ + $(-2.243 \text{ x onset of disease} \le 5 \text{ years})$ + $(-2.243 \text{ x RF isotype A} \le 20 \text{ IU/ml})$ + $(-1.632 \text{ x anti-CCP} \le 40 \text{ IU/ml})$ + 3.759 + 0.821.

We calculated the score for each patient: higher values suggested higher probability that a specific patient will achieve SDAI remission after 12 months of anti-TNFs. The area under the ROC curve was 0.90 (Figure 1A), meaning that the score correctly classified 90.6% of patients, with high sensitivity (84.8%) but low specificity (19.3%).

Simplified remission score

As the proposed score for predictings remission is time consuming and possible not feasible in daily practice, we created a simplified version in which we assigned either zero or one point for each of the above mentioned parameters: one point for age at onset before 50, one point for symptom duration under 5 years, one point for IgA-RF ≤ 20 IU/ ml and one point for ACPA ≤ 40 IU/ ml. Thus, our simplified remission score offered values ranging from 0 to 4 for all above significant remission predictors.

The transformed scores revealed a significant high correlation between simplified and multivariate remission scores (Spearman's test: r=0.99, p<0.05).

The area under ROC curve was 0.89 (figure 1B), the score correctly classifying up to 89.4% of patients with established RA, with a high sensitivity (87.9%) but poor specificity (26.3%).

Furthermore, we evaluated the likelihood of achieving SDAI remission as well as LDA and MDA.

The results are shown in figure 2, 15.6% patients had a simplified remission score of 3 and 10% a remission score of 4, with a high chance of remission at 12 months (78.6% and 100% respectively). On the other hand, low scores as defined by values of 0 and 1 were seen in 23.3% and 27.8% of RA, respectively, and were not associated with SDAI remission.

DISCUSSION

We have hypothezed that SDAI remission could be predictied in patients with active established RA treated with TNF inhibitors by using a simplified validated index (SDAI) and we have, finally, demonstrated that several predictors are currenly relevant for patients matching the inclusion criteria. Moreover, we proposed a prediction model for SDAI remission in established RA based on four parameters (age of patient at RA onset, disease duration, IgA isotype of RF and ACPA levels) that effectively classified up to 80% of cases as achieving remission. That means patients with a disease onnset before the age of 50, with a RA history lower than 5 years, low levels of IgA-RF (< 20 IU/ml) and ACPA (< 40 IU/ ml) at baseline, before starting the biologic DMARD were most likely to achieve SDAI remission over 12 months of a TNF blocking agent (infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab).

Reaching remission is a desirable status even in patients with longstanding established RA (4,6,10,11). Moreover, LDA is considered a good alternative op-



FIGURE 1 A. ROC curve for the multivariate remission score; **B.** ROC curve for the simplified remission score; **C.** The ability of the simplified remission score to predict disease status at 12 months

tion in patients in whom remission cannot be reached based on varia reasons ranging from comorbidities, contraindications to negative prognostic factors (10,11).

In our study we have particularly focused on the SDAI remission as, to our knowledge, this topic was only occasionally directed especially in established RA. We considered SDAI remission at a single time point (12 months) as the individual condition to evaluate the response to biologic treatment and we defined four independent predive factors: age, symptoms duration, and IgA-RF and ACPA levels as well. Interestingly, we did not find any clinical parameter or acute phase reactants involved in SDAI remission prediction.

Furthermore, as the multivariate remission score could be considered too laborious for routine clinic, we provided a simplified score, intended to correctly identify future responders who can be given additional treatment with TNF inhibitors in daily practice.

As our predictive model of SDAI remission in established RA receiving anti-TNF therapy was the result of a small cohort of patients, the model should be validated in larger cohorts and the concept extended to other RA clinical settings and for other biologic and non-biologic DMARDs.

CONCLUSION

SDAI remission is predictible in biological-naive established RA using a score based on age, disease duration, baseline ACPA and IgA-RF. Such a simplified score may help clinicians to manage remission in RA patients according to the current treatment guidelines.

REFERENCES

- Mease P.J. (2011) The potential roles for novel biomarkers in rheumatoid arthritis assessment. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 29(3):567-74s
- Smolen J.S., Aletaha D., Bijlsma J.W.J., Breedveld F.C., Boumpas D., Burmester G., et al. (2010) Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: recommendations of an international task force. Ann Rheum Dis 69:631-37
- van Tuyl L.H., Felson D.T., Wells G., Smolen J., Zhang B., Boers M. (2010) Evidence for predictive validity of remission on long-term outcome in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. *Arthritis Care Res* (Hoboken) 62(1):108-17
- Shammas R.M., Ranganath V.K., Paulus H.E. (2010) Remission in rheumatoid arthritis. Curr Rheumatol Rep 12:355-62
- Pinals R.S., Masi A.T., Larsen R.A. (1981) Preliminary criteria for clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 24:13-8
- Felson D.T., Smolen J.S., Wells G., Zhang B., van Tuyl L.H., Funovits J., et al. (2011) American College of Rheumatology/ European League Against Rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. *Arthritis Rheum* 63:573-86
- Aletaha D., Smolen J.S. (2009) The Simplified Disease Activity Index and Clinical Disease Activity Index to monitor patients in standard clinical care. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 35:759-72
- Aletaha D., Martinez-Avila J., Kvient T.K., Smolen J.S. (2012) Definition of treatment response in rheumatoid arthritis based on simplified and the clinical disease activity index. *Ann Rheum Dis* 71:1190-96
- Smolen J.S., Breedveld F.C., Schiff M.H., Kalden R., Emery P., Eberl G., et al. (2003) A simplified disease activity index for rheumatoid arthritis for use in clinical practice. *Rheumatology* 42:244-57

- Sokka T., Hetland M.L., Makinen H., Kautiainen H., Hørslev-Petersen K., Luukkainen R.K., et al. (2008) Remission and rheumatoid arthritis. Data on patients receiving usual care in twenty-four countries. *Arthritis Rheum* 58:2642-51
- Gaujoux-Viala C., Mouterde G., Baillet A., Claudepierre P., Fautrel B., LeLoët X., et al. (2012) Evaluating disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis: Which composite index is best? A systematic literature analysis of studies comparing the psychometric properties of the DAS, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI. Joint Bone Spine 79(2):149-55
- Balsa A., de Miguel E., Castillo C., Peiteado D., Martı'n-Mola E. (2010) Superiority of SDAI over DAS-28 in assessment of remission in rheumatoid arthritis patients using power Doppler ultrasonography as a gold standard. *Rheumatology* 49:683-90
- Bolosiu H., Ionescu R., Chirieac R., Georgescu L., Rednic S., Suta M., et al. (2011) Ghidul de tratament al poliartritei reumatoide. Revista Romană de Reumatologie XX (1), 36-53
- 14. vanGestel A.M., Prevoo M.L., van ,t Hof M.A., van Rijswijk M.H., van de Putte L.B., van Riel P.L. (1996) Development and validation of the European League Against Rheumatism response criteria for rheumatoid arthritis. Comparison with the preliminary American College of Rheumatology and the World Health Organization/International League Against Rheumatism Criteria. *Arthritis Rheum* 39:34–40
- Ma M.H., Ibrahim F., Walker D., Hassell A., Choy E.H., Kiely P.D., et al. (2012) Remission in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: Predicting Treatment Response. J Rheumatol 39(3):470-5